### Chickasha Sports Complex (Chickasha, OK)

Case Study: City-Managed Facility, Political Gatekeeping, and USSSA Consolidation

Case Study Lecture: Chickasha Sports Complex – Municipal Control, Director Conflict, and Financial Displacement

### Cross-Referenced Projects and Evidence:

- Hidden Valley Dataset (2001–2025): Documents numerous high-revenue
   USSSA tournaments hosted at Chickasha Sports Complex. Entry fees from
   these events total well into six figures annually, yet no city-issued financial
   reports or revenue share disclosures have been found.
- BCM Sports Displacement: In a phone call documented in the projects folder,
  \*\*\*\*\*\*\* informed the BCM Foundation that a \$10,000 non-refundable deposit
  would be required to reserve dates for the next Brian Crawford Memorial
  Tournament—a sudden, arbitrary demand never applied in previous years.
- Operational Fallout: That call marked the departure of BCM Sports from Chickasha. The event relocated to Bouse Sports Complex in Choctaw, removing years of community work, fundraising potential, and local visibility from Chickasha's economy.
- \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* **Parallel Rise:** After the removal of community groups, \*\*\*\*\*\* personal business, \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*, and his role as \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*, filled the operational vacuum he helped create.

### Chickasha Sports Complex (Chickasha, OK)

Case Study: City-Managed Facility, Political Gatekeeping, and USSSA Consolidation

# Findings:

- The \$10,000 deposit was not standard policy. It appears to have been a targeted deterrent used to drive out BCM Sports and make room for a pre-arranged shift in control.
- Other long-standing user groups reportedly received similar treatment, suggesting a coordinated effort to "clear the field" and claim that "no public interest" existed—thus opening the complex for exclusive USSSA use.
- The city, through either negligence or collusion, allowed this transformation to proceed without competitive bidding, transparency, or disclosure of \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* conflict of interest.

## 💰 Financial & Ethical Implications:

- City resources (maintenance, scheduling authority, infrastructure) were used to position a former city employee to privately benefit as a USSSA director.
- Tournament income now flows through \*\*\*\*\*\*\* private company, not through public accounting channels or youth-serving nonprofit entities.
- No evidence exists that community teams, local schools, or families benefit from these events through scholarships, public programming, or youth development opportunities.

### Chickasha Sports Complex (Chickasha, OK)

Case Study: City-Managed Facility, Political Gatekeeping, and USSSA Consolidation

# **X** Recommendations:

- 1. **Launch a full ethics review** of \*\*\*\*\*\* tenure, decisions, and current business activities in relation to his former role.
- 2. **Disclose all gate fees, rental income, and staff contracting** for events held at Chickasha Sports Complex since 2018.
- 3. **Prohibit city officials from operating tournaments** at city-owned facilities within three years of their employment to prevent self-dealing.
- 4. **Create an open calendar policy** and facility use report that tracks who uses the complex, under what terms, and with what financial outcome.
- 5. **Revisit displaced groups**, like BCM Sports, to provide equity-driven opportunities to return and engage under fair conditions.

## **Conclusion:**

The transformation of the Chickasha Sports Complex from a shared community facility into a **closed**, **privately-run tournament hub** is a textbook example of ethical breakdown. The use of city leadership to dismantle public operations and pave the way for personal business enrichment is precisely what your doctoral study aims to expose. Chickasha didn't fail because of poor programming—it failed because the gate was slammed shut by someone who had the keys, the motive, and no accountability. This case is a powerful call for cities to not only monitor how sports complexes are run—but who runs them, and why.